Assessment Policy and Procedure
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Introduction

Context

Federation Training recognises that well designed assessment plays an integral role in helping learners achieve successful outcomes. It strengthens teachers’ understanding of the development of competence and enables them to adopt diverse assessment approaches that recognize different contexts of learning and achievement, and respond to specific needs of students, the relevant industry sector and the community.

Purpose

This policy provides the overarching framework for the Institute’s assessment practice and draws on guidelines provided in:
Australian Skills Quality Authority (ASQA) Standards for RTOs 2015, Standard 1.8-1.12 (2015 Standards)

- Guide for non-school senior secondary education providers, standard 5:
  "A secondary education provider must have:
  - Processes to ensure the consistent application of assessment criteria and practices
  - Processes to oversee the conduct of assessments of the course including processes to conduct investigations and hearings and, if necessary, amend or cancel assessments"

Scope

This Procedure applies to all assessments undertaken at Federation Training.

Rationale

The assessment of a student's competence is conducted according to the policies and procedures approved by the Academic Progress Steering Committee.

The aims and objectives of assessment are to:

- encourage and monitor the competency development of each student
- provide regular feedback to students on their achievement of relevant skills, knowledge and understanding, and to enrich their learning experiences during their studies
- ensure that Institute graduates achieve the competency standards appropriate to their course/s
- enable the Institute to assess and record student progress, and to certify the standard they achieve.

To achieve quality outcomes, assessment activities are designed to reflect the principles of assessment (see Attachment 3 Assessment Principles).

Students are informed of their rights and responsibilities with regards assessment through their course materials, the Federation Training website and Moodle.

Responsibilities within the assessment process:

Teachers are responsible for ensuring assessments are developed, conducted and reviewed in accordance with the:

- quality requirements of the Australian Qualification Framework (AQF), the 2015 Standards and Guide for Non-School Senior Secondary Education Providers, as appropriate
- policies and procedures contained within this assessment framework
- content, performance criteria or elements required of a unit of competency (or cluster of units) and in-line with relevant and current workplace practices.

Co-Assessment:

- If a trainer does not hold the appropriate assessor skill set, or demonstrated equivalence, then a person with the assessment competencies, or equivalent, may work with that trainer to conduct assessments. Assessing cannot be 'supervised'.
- If an assessor does not hold the appropriate vocational competencies, or demonstrated equivalence, one or more persons, who have the relevant vocational competencies, at least to the level being assessed, may work with the assessor to conduct assessments.
- When co-assessing, both parties will be named as assessors on the assessment and marking documents, both will sign off on these, and they will indicate the person qualified to assess and the person with the vocational competence.
• If one person trains and a different person assesses (alone), this too, should be indicated in the assessment documents.

Students are responsible for:
• engaging in individual and group assessment tasks
• notifying their teacher in a timely manner if they are unable to undertake a scheduled assessment
• applying for special consideration or reasonable adjustments for assessment
• initiating the appeals process if not satisfied with the assessment process.

Procedure

1. Skills recognition
The Institute will offer students the opportunity for skills recognition on enrolment (see Skills Recognition: RPL, RCC and Credit Transfers (TL006).

2. Assessments to support continuous learning and decide outcomes
Formative assessment is used to provide timely and constructive feedback to students to facilitate their learning and provide evidence of learner participation (see Evidence of Participation (TL026)).

Summative assessment is used to assess learning outcomes at the end of a unit or course of study.

3. Conducting assessment ethically
Federation Training teachers ensure there is no real or perceived conflict of interest in assessment processes and that they are able to perform their role with impartiality. Examples include the following circumstances:
• Assessing a family member, relative or close friend
• Assessing a colleague or business associate particularly if the outcome of the assessment can be used to gain employment, promotion, pay increases or other benefits
• Assessing another staff member, particularly where a supervisor or subordinate relationship exists
• Assessing someone where there may be a conflict situation
• Assessing oneself.

If a real or perceived conflict of interest is identified, it is the responsibility of the assessor to discuss the situation with their Education Manager as soon as possible. The Education Manager will decide whether the assessor should not assess in the specific case and whether assessment should be confirmed by a second assessor.

4. Evaluating evidence
The requirements for each assessment activity are based on the agreed rules of evidence (see Attachment 4 Evidence). Assessors make a professional decision on a student’s competency by appraising the evidence.

Assessments may have results recorded as either competency-based or graded. Competency is evaluated prior to applying a grade to a graded assessment. The User Guide for the Standards for RTOs 2015 makes this point clearly:

“If your RTO applies any form of grading to learners, ensure that this is applied only after the learner has been assessed as fully competent and is in addition to a determination of competent or otherwise.” (p.27)

Students must be assessed against the assessment criteria designated in the training package/curriculum document. Graded assessment which is additional to that set down in the training package/curriculum is optional.
at Certificate IV level or above. Where Certificate III units are undertaken as part of a Certificate IV qualification, the team must notify on the Institute Request for a New Session form that these units are to have graded results.

If graded results are to be used, evidence of achievement is to be recorded by following the Graded Result Set Guidelines at Attachment 6. Teams must document the criteria used for graded assessment and provide this information to students.

Formative assessments are used as an indicator of a student’s progress towards a satisfactory result in individual assessments and a competent result in the unit. Formative or summative assessment feedback is to be conveyed to the student via page 2 of the Assessment Cover Sheet and Task Outcome (TL 036 Form 1), or other feedback mechanism as appropriate. For all tasks submitted with an Assessment Cover Sheet the assessor must complete the Assessment Outcome and Feedback section of the Cover Sheet.

Unit competency may be determined by a single assessment, but more commonly by multiple assessment tasks. Where an individual assessment task provides only partial evidence against the unit of competency (ie it is one of several assessment tasks for the particular unit), then the assessment can only be marked “Satisfactory” or “Not Yet Satisfactory”, because a partial result cannot prove competence. In this case the Assessment Outcome and Feedback section of the Cover Sheet is completed, but the Final Unit Result section at the bottom of page 2 is left blank.

Only in cases where a single assessment task demonstrates competence for an entire unit is the Final Unit Result box in the individual Assessment Cover Sheet filled out. In this case, both the Assessment Outcome and Feedback section and the Final Unit Result section are completed ie the assessor must indicate that the assessment is Satisfactory and, as a consequence, that the student is therefore Competent (and then apply marks and a grade where appropriate).

If an assessor is uncomfortable with making a decision they should review the assessment process and the evidence provided. An unsuccessful assessment outcome should not be viewed as a failure by either the assessor or the student but rather as a means to identify additional learning needs. Students should be given the opportunity for reassessment and a detailed explanation of where weaknesses exist in their performance.

4.1 LANGUAGE, LITERACY AND NUMERACY IN ASSESSMENT

In the process of working out what evidence is required or when developing assessment tools, the assessor should pay particular attention to the language, literacy and numeracy skills required by the student. Federation Training uses LLN assessments based on the Australian Core Skills Framework (ACSF).

Written tests and reports are often used, in particular, to assess underpinning knowledge. In many units of competency, however, writing is either not required or is a minimal requirement to perform the described work task. It is important not to use techniques for gathering evidence that require skills beyond those specified in the unit of competency and used commonly in the workplace.

Where the assessor is having difficulty in ascertaining the ACSF levels for units or courses they should draw upon the expertise of the Institute’s LLN Specialists.

4.2 RE-SUBMISSION

In some cases a student may be able to provide the additional required evidence with reasonable prompts and discussion about the assessment and be assessed as competent.

In general it would be expected that a student, whose formative learning demonstrates that they are not yet competent, should have additional training and or support prior to being assessed or re-assessed to ensure the best possible chance for a competent outcome. This may include a wide range of strategies, including additional student guidance, and support.
If students comply with the required assessment dates but are initially assessed as not yet competent, they are entitled to a second attempt (resubmission). In all cases the due date for resubmission and remarking will be determined by the teacher in negotiation with the student and will be based on reasonable time frames with due consideration for the requirements for reporting prior to the unit end date.

Where this is not possible the student may be required to re-enrol. Students should be informed of unit end dates, especially in diploma level programs.

Students must be aware of the Assessment Appeals Procedure (TL007) and should be made aware of this on orientation to their study program. If a student feels that their assessment outcome is unfair they should be referred to the policy.

Where work placement is an integral part of the assessment activities, the resubmission dates will usually reflect an end of qualification date.

If a student is requested to resubmit or participate a second time in an assessment activity they will only be reassessed on the components initially determined as not yet competent. To ensure equity and fairness of assessment for all students, re-submission activities may vary from those originally set.

• Students have a right to one resubmission per assessment piece or activity during their current enrolment period, if the competency criterion for an assessment has not been met.
• The resubmission must be accompanied by a new Assessment Cover Sheet, which must make it clear that this is a resubmission (eg fill out the re-submission date field rather than the submission date field).
• Further resubmissions may be permitted by the teacher on a case-by-case basis, and usually as the result of a special consideration application.
• Non-graded work that is competent is recorded as competent. Where an assessment is graded, the only result available as a final result once the work is assessed as Competent is a Pass.
• If the mark is required to become a part of a series of grades added together for a final result, the highest possible mark is 64% - Pass.
• If the component of study is not graded then a resubmission will not affect the result code.

5. Special consideration or reasonable adjustments

To promote and apply fairness to all students, assessment procedures should consider and support the needs of all students who meet the inherent requirements of the course. Adjustments may be made to assessment conditions to meet the individual needs of students that are allowable within rules defined by the training package or accredited course, and which maintain the integrity of the assessment outcome. The adjustment/s should be agreed between the assessor, the student and, if Institute support has been requested, an Institute support worker.

Students who may apply for special consideration or reasonable adjustments include but are not limited to:
• Students who have experienced illness or a serious event during their study
• Students with physical or intellectual disabilities
• Students with literacy or numeracy difficulties
• Students whose employment obligations have seriously disadvantaged their ability to study
• Students in remote locations
Special consideration or reasonable adjustment in an assessment may include but are not limited to:

- extra reading/writing time
- break times during an examination
- a reader/writer
- an interpreter for deaf and hearing impaired students
- alternative accommodation, physical environment and equipment
- alternative evidence gathering techniques
- alternative scheduling of assessment
- adaptive technology equipment
- for paper-based assessment, questions in audio/video format or Braille
- enlarged text or re-writes to provide reasonable adjustment to language

5.1 SPECIAL CONSIDERATION

If a student believes that their performance during their course has been affected by illness or another serious cause and as a result has been prevented from meeting an assessment deadline or completing the assessment or performed below standard during the assessment, they may apply in writing for a special consideration in the assessment of their competence.

To request special consideration, students must discuss their requirements with their teacher before their assessment deadline, and submit appropriate evidence or documentation to support the following grounds:

- illness or medical condition
- English language limitation
- employment limitation
- compassionate
- religious or cultural observance
- community service, e.g. jury duty

The students will be advised of the outcome of their request for special consideration in writing. A record of the special consideration must be documented by the teacher and kept in the student file. Application for extension is to be detailed on the Extension of Assessment form.

5.2 REASONABLE ADJUSTMENT

To request a reasonable adjustment in an assessment, students must discuss their requirements with their class teacher and, optionally, a Disability Support Worker prior to the start of the unit of competence or at the earliest possible time once class has commenced. Students are required to provide documentation to support their request for reasonable adjustment.

Any reasonable adjustments to assessment must be communicated to student in writing. A record of the reasonable adjustment must be documented and a copy kept in the student file. All information and supporting statements provided as part of an application for reasonable adjustment in assessment will remain confidential, in accordance the Institute’s Privacy Policy and Procedure (CM023).

6. Plagiarism

Plagiarism and other forms of cheating in any assessment items, in order to gain an unfair advantage or incorrect outcome are major infringements of the Institute’s educational values. As such, any plagiarism or cheating will result in serious consequences (see Plagiarism Policy (TL013)).
7. Submitting and handling student work

7.1 SUBMITTING AND HANDLING WRITTEN WORK

Students are required to submit their assessments on time and in accord with the requirements of this procedure. Staff are responsible for the secure and confidential storage of assessments received. Where assessments are submitted via Online Campus (Moodle), Staff are responsible for downloading and exporting assessment data to a secure drive. The responsibilities of both are detailed in Attachment 1 - Responsibilities in Submitting and Handling Assessments, which records all the minimum requirements needed to manage and control the care of student assessment items.

For written work in hard copy and for items produced, created and/or manufactured, students are required to provide a completed Assessment Cover Sheet and Task Outcome (TL 036 Form 1) with the assessment. Written tests, Online Campus assessments and module books in which work is completed for assessment purposes do not require Assessment Cover Sheets.

Once marked, and once the ASQA retention requirements have passed, the onus is on the student to either collect their assignment within the time specified by their teacher or to make alternative arrangements. Each page of the assignment will be initialled by the assessor to verify re-submission of original work should an appeal arise.

7.2 ASSESSMENT DATES AND EXTENSIONS OF TIME

Students are required to meet assessment dates in several ways, such as:

- formal submission dates for assignments and projects
- pre-determined assessment activities held within class times (ie debates, presentations, group activities)
- test or examination dates
- workplace visits by Federation Training assessors.

Students are responsible for having assessments submitted on time. If a student has exceptional circumstances they may apply for an Extension of Assessment – with agreement of the teacher or course coordinator. The Extension of Assessment form must be completed prior to the assessment due date.

An extension may be granted in exceptional circumstances and, depending on the mode of study, reasons for extension may vary from

- health,
- personal or
- work reasons, for example work situations carry greater implications for part-time students working full-time, than full-time students not working.

Significant evidence, e.g. a doctor’s certificate, needs to support a request for an extension after the due date. An assessment activity completed by the extended date still has a re-submission option.

If the assessment is not provided by the agreed extended date the student can be withdrawn from the unit and may be required to re-enrol.

If an assessment is submitted after the due date without approval or the student is not available for assessment on the set date:

- The work can be assessed to Satisfactory or competent level
- A maximum grade that can be recorded is a Pass
- If the unit end date has passed the student may be required to re-enrol before the result can be recorded.

Resubmission is not an option for late assessments unless they have a pre-approved extension of time, confirmed in writing and signed by both the student and teacher.
7.3 ASSESSMENTS RETAINED BY FEDERATION TRAINING

All RTOs are required by ASQA to securely retain, and be able to produce in full at audit if requested to do so, all completed student assessment items for each student, for a period of six months from the date on which the judgement of competence for the student was made.

ASQA defines completed student assessment items as the following:

“The actual piece(s) of work completed by a student or evidence of that work, including evidence collected for an RPL process. An assessor’s completed marking guide, criteria, and observation checklist for each student may be sufficient where it is not possible to retain the student’s actual work. However, the retained evidence must have enough detail to demonstrate the assessor’s judgement of the student’s performance against the standard required.”


Note that ‘completed student assessment items’ are not exclusively actual pieces (copies) of student work. However, whatever is retained must have enough detail to demonstrate the assessor’s judgment explicitly against the assessment standard required.

In each case, and once assessment items have been marked, students will be provided with a completed copy of the Assessment Cover Sheet and Task Outcome or other assessment feedback as appropriate. However, the assessments themselves must be held for the six month period.

Students should not submit original documentation in support of their assessments or RPL applications (birth certificates, awards, degrees etc), as these will also be subject to the six month rule and certified copies are sufficient in any case.

ASQA’s rationale for this retention policy is that only the review of completed student assessment items at audit will confirm the extent to which plans to ensure valid assessment have been successfully implemented.

Note that a condition of the State Government Funding Contract is that the Institute must not dispose of any records related to VTG evidence of participation requirements, including but not limited to assessment records, for at least two (2) years after the relevant Eligible Individual has completed or withdrawn from the relevant module or unit of competency in which they are enrolled. To confirm, this only applies to assessment records tied to Victorian government subsidised training and does not explicitly require the keeping of original assessments (VET Funding Contract 2014-16 p21).

7.4 LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ASSESSMENT ITEMS

Staff should emphasise to students that whilst the Institute takes all due care of their assessment items, it is the responsibility of the student to keep a copy of all submitted assessments where applicable.

In the unfortunate event of a mislaid assessment item, the Assessment Cover Sheet and Task Outcome is proof of submission and resubmitted work, in this case, will have an automatic resubmit option.

In the case of loss or damage students will be advised (in writing if appropriate) and staff will liaise with the student to reach agreement for repairs, replacement or re-submission as appropriate.

8. Assessment progress and review

8.1 RE-EVALUATION

A student who is not satisfied with the result provided on an assessment activity has the right to approach their teacher or assessor and request clarification of how their assessment was determined and a re-evaluation. This request must be made no later than 14 days after the student has been notified of their results.
8.2 PROGRESS

Students experiencing difficulty in meeting course requirements or who are deemed at risk with respect to participation requirements (See TL026 Evidence of Participation), may be invited to participate in a progress review. This may be initiated by the teacher or requested by the student or Student Services. The review meeting is chaired by the Education Manager or their delegate. It will provide the student with an appraisal of their performance, strategies for improvement and a timeframe for a subsequent performance appraisal. Any review of progress should be documented by the review chair and kept in the student file.

If an assessment outcome affects subsequent assessments within a component of study, results and feedback will be made available in a timeframe which will not disadvantage performance in the subsequent assessment.

The results of a student's formative assessment during the conduct of a course are retained within teaching teams for consideration in determining a final assessment result for the course. A student is entitled to receive the result of any assessment prior to the commencement of the next scheduled period of study in a course, where knowledge of that assessment is necessary in order to proceed either within the course or to another course.

A student whose progress is assessed as unsatisfactory may be excluded from continued enrolment in their course.

8.3 APPEALS

A student may appeal against an official assessment result or a recommendation for exclusion from a course, in accordance with the Institute’s Assessment Appeals Procedure (TL007).

9. Informing students

Students are informed of the context and purpose of the assessment and the assessment process, including pre-assessment information through the course materials distributed at the commencement of a course.

Assessment provides for student feedback about the outcomes of the assessment process and guidance on future options in relation to those outcomes.

Feedback on assessment outcomes is provided via a completed copy of the Assessment Cover Sheet and Task Outcome or other assessment feedback templates as appropriate.

10. Validating and moderating assessments

Validation is a quality review process. It involves checking that the assessment tool used, produced valid, reliable, sufficient, current and authentic evidence to enable reasonable judgements to be made as to whether the requirements of the relevant aspects of the Training Package or accredited course had been met. It includes reviewing and making recommendations for future improvements to the assessment tool, process and/or outcomes. (p6 NQC 2009)

The systematic validation of assessment strategies, (processes, tools and evidence) is designed to ensure that Institute staff facilitate consistent and valid assessment and continuously improve the strategies adopted.

Validation is a practice that applies to assessment strategies designed for all Training Package qualifications and Accredited Courses within the Institute’s Scope of Registration, including those which the Institute auspices another body to deliver and/or assess.
Clauses 1.9 to 1.11 of the Standards for RTOs 2015 establish the key requirements for evaluation of training products on the Federation Training Scope. Specifically, the Institute is required to have a:

“plan for ongoing systematic validation of assessment practices and judgements that includes for each training product on the RTO’s scope of registration:

a. when assessment validation will occur;

b. which training products will be the focus of the validation;

c. who will lead and participate in validation activities; and

d. how the outcomes of these activities will be documented and acted upon.”

Moreover, clause 1.10 sets the minimum requirements in terms of frequency, that:

“each training product is validated at least once every five years, with at least 50% of products validated within the first three years of each five year cycle, taking into account the relative risks of all of the training products on the RTO’s scope of registration, including those risks identified by the VET regulator.”

Finally, the 2015 Standards place caveats on who can participate in validation. Particularly, validation of assessment practices and judgements must be undertaken by one or more persons who are not directly involved in the particular instance of delivery and assessment of the training product being validated, and who collectively are competent and possess current skills in the vocation under consideration and vocational teaching and learning (as per TL 038 Demonstrating Required Training and Assessment Competencies). ASQA provides that industry experts may be involved in validation to ensure there is the required combination of competency and currency.

In meeting these requirements the current procedure sets out two complementary processes; one is a comprehensive validation plan motivated by the need and opportunity to integrate the training and assessment practices of two separate organisations (Advance TAFE and GippsTAFE). It has the ambition to validate all enrolled units by the close of 2015. The second sets out an ongoing process to plan and manage our validation responsibilities on a business as usual basis.

INTEGRATION ACTIVITY MID 2014 TO END OF 2015

The Quality and Compliance team have generated and continually update a master list of all enrolled units segregated by course. Each course has been risk assessed against the ASQA Supplementary Risk Indicators set out in the regulator Risk Assessment Framework. Each unit is mapped and validated against the relevant unit specification accessed from Training.gov. A team of internally and externally sourced validators are assigned courses according to relative risk and then proceed to validate all enrolled units.

The validators are wherever possible independent of the course of study being validated and all possess current teaching and learning skills and training and assessment qualifications. They draw on input from staff with vocational competencies and current skills relevant to the unit being assessed.

The process is managed to ensure that no unit is validated multiple times and Course Team Meetings and dialogue between validators and Education Managers is used to facilitate the application of valid unit resources across other iterations of the unit in different courses, wherever appropriate.

Where rectification is required to make the unit valid and compliant with the 2015 Standards this is managed by Education Managers with facilitation by the validator. Once rectified the compliant validation and mapping templates are uploaded against the relevant unit within the TAS Toolbox.

The compliant training and assessment resources are also uploaded into the TAS Toolbox, where they can be accessed but not altered by any staff seeking the resources.
MANAGING ASSESSMENT VALIDATION – 2016 ONWARDS

From 2016 onwards the quality and compliance team will update the risk assessment against every course on scope annually. Each Course Team will use this resource to develop an assessment validation plan for the courses and units it is responsible for, keeping in mind the overall goal that each training product is validated at least once every five years. Validation Assessment plans will be reviewed and updated annually, but this does not invalidate the fact that they are living documents, and each Course Team will maintain a watching brief to ensure the ongoing currency of their plan.

Validation and moderation (Attachment 5, 5 Year Course Validation Management Plan 2015 - 2019) is a standard Agenda item for all Course Team meetings. Except in special circumstances, validation will occur prior to assessment tools being used. Ad-hoc triggers for validation of assessment tools might include:

- There are changes to a Training Package and/or a Unit Overview
- A new Unit Training Package and/or Unit goes on scope and is developed
- After any changes to assessment tools.

The current validation and mapping templates, or suitable alternatives, will be employed to guide and document all validations. Validation results will be submitted to relevant Course Team members as they are completed. Rectified resources will be expected to be submitted and endorsed at the following Course Team meeting (expectation is each Course Team meets once per quarter). With support from Quality and Compliance, it is the responsibility of each Course Team to ensure the assessment validation plan is adhered to, and to verify that all rectification activity is completed.
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